

Concurrency 3



Jean-Jacques Lévy

jeanjacqueslevy.net/dea

Minimal language for concurrency

The λ -calculus is a minimal language for functional languages. It can also be used as a basis for imperative languages (via continuations).

What is a minimal language for concurrent processes ?

- CCS [Milner]
- π -calculus [Milner, Parrow, Walker, Sangiorgi]
- CSP [Hoare]
- Petri nets
- Mazurkiewitz traces
- Events structures \Leftrightarrow True concurrency [Winskel]
- IO-automatas [Lynch, Tuttle]

CCS (1/4)

Language

a, b, c	$::=$	(channel) names	
$\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}$	$::=$	co-names	$\bar{\bar{a}} = a$
α	$::=$	$a \mid \bar{a} \mid \tau$	actions
P, Q, R	$::=$	$0 \mid \alpha.P \mid P + Q \mid (P \mid Q) \mid (\nu\alpha)P \mid K$	processes
$K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$	$::=$	constant definitions	

$$\mathcal{Act} = \{a, b, c, \dots\} \cup \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \dots\} \cup \{\tau\}$$

Notation: α for $\alpha.0$

- 0 **null** process
- $\alpha.P$ **sequential** action
- $P + Q$ non-deterministic (external) **choice**
- $P \mid Q$ parallel **composition**
- $(\nu\alpha)P$ **restriction** on α
- K (recursively defined) **constant**

CCS (2/4)

Examples (coffee machine revisited)

$$P_0 = A \qquad P'_0 = B \qquad C = (k.d.D + t.d.D)$$

$$A = c.(k.d.A + t.d.A) \qquad B = c.C \qquad D = c.C$$

$$P''_0 = E \qquad P'''_0 = F$$

$$E = (c.k.d.E + c.t.d.E) \qquad F = c + (c.k.d.F + c.t.d.F)$$

Interaction with coffee machine

$$P_0 \mid \bar{c}.k.\bar{d} \qquad P_0 \mid \bar{c}.k.\bar{d} \mid \bar{c}.t.\bar{d}$$

$$P_0 \mid \text{Client1} \qquad P'_0 \mid \text{Client1} \qquad P''_0 \mid \text{Client1} \qquad P'''_0 \mid \text{Client1}$$

$$P_0 \mid \text{Client2} \qquad P''_0 \mid \text{Client2} \mid \text{Client2} \qquad P_0 \mid \text{Client1} \mid \text{Client2}$$

where

$$\text{Client1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{c}.k.\bar{d}.\text{Client1} \qquad \text{Client2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{c}.t.\bar{d}.\text{Client2}$$

CCS (3/4)

Semantics (SOS)

$$\text{[Act]} \quad \alpha.P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P$$

$$\text{[Sum1]} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}$$

$$\text{[Sum2]} \quad \frac{Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}$$

$$\text{[Par1]} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P | Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' | Q}$$

$$\text{[Par2]} \quad \frac{Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P | Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P | Q'}$$

$$\text{[Com]} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{\bar{a}} Q'}{P | Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' | Q'}$$

$$\text{[Res]} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad \alpha \notin \{a, \bar{a}\}}{(\nu a)P \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\nu a)P'}$$

$$\text{[Rec]} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P}{K \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}$$

$\xrightarrow{\tau}$ internal move

$\xrightarrow{\alpha}$ ($\alpha \neq \tau$) interaction on external α -channel

By convention, \xrightarrow{a} input on a -channel and $\xrightarrow{\bar{a}}$ output on a -channel

Sum \neq internal choice $P + Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P$ or $P + Q \xrightarrow{\tau} Q$.

CCS (4/4)

At present time, no values passed on communication channels.
(see later for value passing calculi)

No buffering in communications. Different from TCP sockets, from Kahn/MacQueen flow systems.

⇒ communication by **rendez-vous**.

≡ more basic calculus.

Rendez-vous exist in Occam, Ada, CML, Ocaml's processes.

CCS and strong bisimulation (1/4)

Theorem 1 Following relations hold.

$$P + 0 \sim P$$

$$P + Q \sim Q + P$$

$$(P + Q) + R \sim P + (Q + R)$$

$$P + P \sim P$$

$$P | 0 \sim P$$

$$P | Q \sim Q | P$$

$$(P | Q) | R \sim P | (Q | R)$$

$$(\nu a)(P | Q) \sim ((\nu a)P) | Q$$

if a not free in Q

$$(\nu a)(\nu b)P \sim (\nu b)(\nu a)P$$

$$(\nu a)P \sim (\nu b)P\{b/a\}$$

if b not bound in Q

$$(\nu a)\alpha.P \sim 0$$

if $\alpha = a$ or $\alpha = \bar{a}$

$$(\nu a)\alpha.P \sim \alpha.(\nu a).P$$

otherwise

$$K \sim P$$

if $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$

CCS and strong bisimulation (2/4)

Proof of previous theorem

- $P + 0 \sim P$. Take $\mathcal{R} = \{(P + 0, P), (P, P + 0), (P, P)\}$ and show \mathcal{R} is a bisimulation.

Let $P + 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$. Then $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ by rule [Sum1] since $0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ is not possible. And $P' \mathcal{R} P'$.

Conversely let $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$. Then $P + 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ by rule [Sum1]. And again $P' \mathcal{R} P'$.

- $P + Q \sim Q + P$. Show following \mathcal{R} is a bisimulation. Take $\mathcal{R} = \{P + Q, Q + P, (P, P)\}$.

Let $P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$.

- Case 1: let $P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ using [Sum1]. Then $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$.
But $Q + P \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ using [Sum2].

QED since $S \mathcal{R} S$.

- Case 2: let $P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ using [Sum2]. Then $Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$.
But $Q + P \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ using [Sum1].

QED since $S \mathcal{R} S$.

Conversely let $Q + P \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$. QED by symmetry.

CCS and strong bisimulation (3/4)

Proof of theorem (continued)

- $(P + Q) + R \sim P + (Q + R)$. Show following \mathcal{R} is a bisimulation.
Take $\mathcal{R} = \{(P + Q) + R, P + (Q + R), (P, P)\}$.

Let $(P + Q) + R \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$.

– Case 1: let $(P + Q) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ using [Sum1].

* Case 1.1: let $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ using [Sum1].

Then $P + (Q + R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ by [Sum1].

QED since $S \mathcal{R} S$.

* Case 1.2: Let $Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$. Then $(Q + R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ by [Sum1], and
 $P + (Q + R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ by [Sum2].

QED since $S \mathcal{R} S$.

– Case 2: Let $R \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ by [Sum2]. Then $(Q + R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ by
[Sum2], and $P + (Q + R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$ by [Sum2].

QED since $S \mathcal{R} S$.

By symmetry when $P + (Q + R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S$.

- other equations ...

Exercise 1 Give full proof of theorem.

CCS and strong bisimulation (4/4)

Theorem 2 [Expansion]

$$a.P \mid b.Q \sim a.(P \mid b.Q) + b.(a.P \mid Q)$$

$$a.P \mid \bar{a}.Q \sim a.(P \mid \bar{a}.Q) + \bar{a}.(a.P \mid Q) + \tau.(P \mid Q)$$

Exercise 2 Prove it.

Concurrency in CCS relies on **interleaving**. Never two actions occur at same time. Different from “true concurrency”.

Exercise 3 Draw LTS for following processes:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (\nu a)((a + b) \mid \bar{a}) & K_2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau.(\nu a)(a \mid (\bar{a} + b)) + c.K_3 \\ K_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.(\tau.K_1 + b) + \tau.a.K_1 & K_3 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d.K_3 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4 Draw LTS for $(\nu c)(K_1 \mid K_2)$ where

$$K_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.\bar{c}.K_1 \quad K_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b.c.K_2$$

Exercise 5 Give a CCS term for boolean semaphores.

Exercise 6 Give a CCS term for n -ary semaphores.

Strong bisimulation and congruence

Theorem 3 Strong bisimulation \sim is a congruence. Namely:

$$P \sim Q \Rightarrow C[P] \sim C[Q] \quad \text{for any context } C[].$$

Exercise 7 Prove it.

This means that \sim can be used as standard equations.

Exercise 8 Prove by using equations of Theorems 1 and 2 that:

$$(\nu b)(a.(b \mid c) + \tau.(b \mid \bar{b}.c)) \sim a.c + \tau.\tau.c$$

Exercise 9 Show $K \mid K \sim K$ when $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.K$.

Exercise 10 Show $K \sim K'$ when $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.K$ and $K' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.a.K'$.

Exercise 11 Show $K \sim a.K'$ when $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.b.K$ and $K' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b.a.K'$.

Exercise 12 Show that $a.(b + c) \not\sim ab + ac$.