

MPRI Concurrency (course number 2-3) 2005-2006:

π -calculus

2005-11-02

<http://pauillac.inria.fr/~leifer/teaching/mpri-concurrency-2005/>

James J. Leifer
INRIA Rocquencourt

James.Leifer@inria.fr

About the lectures

The MPRI represents a transition from *student* to *researcher*. So...

- Interrupting me with questions is good.
- Working through a problem without already knowing the answer is good.
- I'll make mistakes. 8-)

About me

- 1995–2001: Ph.D. student of Robin Milner's in Cambridge, UK
- 2001–2002: Postdoc in INRIA Rocquencourt, France
- 2002–: Research scientist in INRIA Rocquencourt, France
- November 2004: voted against W (who, despite this, was elected for the first time)

Books

- Robin Milner. *Communicating and mobile systems: the π -calculus*. (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- Robin Milner. *Communication and concurrency*. (Prentice Hall, 1989).
- Davide Sangiorgi and David Walker. *The π -calculus: a theory of mobile processes*. (Cambridge University Press, 2001).

Tutorials available online

- Robin Milner. “The polyadic pi-calculus: a tutorial”. Technical Report ECS-LFCS-91-180, University of Edinburgh.
<http://www.lfcs.inf.ed.ac.uk/reports/91/ECS-LFCS-91-180/ECS-LFCS-91-180.ps>
- Joachim Parrow. “An introduction to the pi-calculus”.
<http://user.it.uu.se/~joachim/intro.ps>
- Peter Sewell. “Applied pi — a brief tutorial”. Technical Report 498, University of Cambridge. <http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/pes20/apppi.ps>

Today's plan

- syntax
- reduction semantics and structural congruence
- labelled transitions
- bisimulation

Syntax

$P ::= \bar{x}y.P$	output
$x(y).P$	input (y binds in P)
$\nu x.P$	restriction (new) (x binds in P)
$P \mid P$	parallel (par)
0	empty
$!P$	replication (bang)
...	

Significant difference from CCS: channels carry names.

Free names

The free names of P are written $\text{fn}(P)$.

Example: $\text{fn}(\mathbf{0}) = \emptyset$; $\text{fn}(\bar{x}y.z(y).\mathbf{0}) = \{x, y, z\}$.

Exercise: Calculate $\text{fn}(z(y).\bar{x}y.\mathbf{0})$; $\text{fn}(\nu z.(z(y).\bar{x}y) \mid \bar{y}z)$.

Formally:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fn}(\bar{x}y.P) &= \{x, y\} \cup \text{fn}(P) \\ \text{fn}(x(y).P) &= \{x\} \cup (\text{fn}(P) \setminus \{y\}) \\ \text{fn}(\nu x.P) &= \text{fn}(P) \setminus \{x\} \\ \text{fn}(P \mid P') &= \text{fn}(P) \cup \text{fn}(P') \\ \text{fn}(\mathbf{0}) &= \emptyset \\ \text{fn}(!P) &= \text{fn}(P)\end{aligned}$$

Alpha-conversion

We consider processes up to alpha-conversion: provided $y' \notin \text{fn}(P)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}x(y).P &= x(y').\{y'/y\}P \\ \nu y.P &= \nu y'.\{y'/y\}P\end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Freshen all bound names: $\nu x.(x(x).\bar{x}x) \mid x(x)$

Reduction (\longrightarrow)

We say that P reduces to P' , written $P \longrightarrow P'$, if this can be derived from the following rules:

$$\bar{x}y.P \mid x(u).Q \longrightarrow P \mid \{y/u\}Q \quad \text{(red-comm)}$$

$$\frac{P \longrightarrow P'}{P \mid Q \longrightarrow P' \mid Q} \quad \text{(red-par)}$$

$$\frac{P \longrightarrow P'}{\nu x.P \longrightarrow \nu x.P'} \quad \text{(red-new)}$$

Example: $\nu x.(\bar{x}y \mid x(u).\bar{u}z) \longrightarrow \nu x.(\mathbf{0} \mid \bar{y}z)$

As currently defined, reduction is too limited:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{x}y \mid \mathbf{0}) \mid x(u) &\not\longrightarrow \\ \nu w.\bar{x}y \mid x(u) &\not\longrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Structural congruence (\equiv)

The smallest equivalence relation such that:

$$P \mid (Q \mid S) \equiv (P \mid Q) \mid S \quad (\text{str-assoc})$$

$$P \mid Q \equiv Q \mid P \quad (\text{str-commut})$$

$$P \mid \mathbf{0} \equiv P \quad (\text{str-id})$$

$$\nu x. \nu y. P \equiv \nu y. \nu x. P \quad (\text{str-swap})$$

$$\nu x. \mathbf{0} \equiv \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{str-zero})$$

$$\nu x. P \mid Q \equiv \nu x. (P \mid Q) \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{fn}(Q) \quad (\text{str-ex})$$

$$!P \equiv P \mid !P \quad (\text{str-repl})$$

And congruence rules:

$$\frac{P \equiv P'}{P \mid Q \equiv P' \mid Q} \quad (\text{str-par-l})$$

$$\frac{P \equiv P'}{\nu x. P \equiv \nu x. P'} \quad (\text{str-new})$$

Note: we don't close up by input or output prefixing.

Fixing reduction

We close reduction by structural congruence:

$$\frac{P \equiv \longrightarrow \equiv P'}{P \longrightarrow P'} \quad (\text{red-str})$$

Exercise: Calculate the reductions of $\nu y.(\bar{x}y \mid y(u).\bar{u}z) \mid x(w).\bar{w}v$ and $\bar{x}y \mid \nu y.(x(u).\bar{u}w \mid y(v))$

Application of new binding: from polyadic to monadic channels

Let us extend our notion of *monadic* channels, which carry exactly one name, to *polyadic* channels, which carry a vector of names, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ll} P ::= \bar{x}\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle.P & \text{output} \\ & x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \quad \text{input } (y_1, \dots, y_n \text{ bind in } P) \end{array}$$

Is there an encoding from polyadic to monadic channels? We might try:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \bar{x}\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle.P \rrbracket &= \bar{x}y_1 \dots \bar{x}y_n. \llbracket P \rrbracket \\ \llbracket x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \rrbracket &= x(y_1) \dots x(y_n). \llbracket P \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

but this is broken! Can you see why? The right approach is use new binding:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \bar{x}\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle.P \rrbracket &= \nu z. (\bar{x}z. \bar{z}y_1 \dots \bar{z}y_n. \llbracket P \rrbracket) \\ \llbracket x(y_1, \dots, y_n).P \rrbracket &= x(z). z(y_1) \dots z(y_n). \llbracket P \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

where $z \notin \text{fn}(P)$ in both cases. (We also need some well-sorted assumptions.)

Application of new binding: from synchronous to asynchronous output

In distributed computing, sending and receiving messages may be asymmetric: we clearly know when we have received a message but not necessarily when a message we sent has been delivered. (Think of email.)

$$\begin{array}{ll} P ::= \bar{x}y & \text{output} \\ & x(y).P \quad \text{input } (y \text{ binds in } P) \end{array}$$

Nonetheless, one can always achieve synchronous sends by using an *acknowledgement* protocol:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \bar{x}y.P \rrbracket &= \nu z. (\bar{x}\langle y, z \rangle \mid z().\llbracket P \rrbracket) \\ \llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket &= x(y, z).(\bar{z}\langle \rangle \mid \llbracket P \rrbracket) \end{aligned}$$

provided $z \notin \text{fn}(P)$ in both cases.

But this is cheating since the encoding relies on being able to send tuples (e.g. $\bar{x}\langle y, z \rangle$). Can you see how to use only monadic communication?

Labels

The labels α are of the form:

$\alpha ::= \bar{x}y$	output
$\bar{x}(y)$	bound output
xy	input
τ	silent

The free names $\text{fn}(\alpha)$ and bound names $\text{bn}(\alpha)$ are defined as follows:

α	$\bar{x}y$	$\bar{x}(y)$	xy	τ
$\text{fn}(\alpha)$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x\}$	$\{x, y\}$	\emptyset
$\text{bn}(\alpha)$	\emptyset	$\{y\}$	\emptyset	\emptyset

Labelled transitions ($P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$)

Labelled transitions are of the form $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ and are generated by:

$$\bar{x}y.P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}y} P \quad (\text{lab-out}) \qquad x(y).P \xrightarrow{xz} \{z/y\}P \quad (\text{lab-in})$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \mid Q} \text{if } \text{bn}(\alpha) \cap \text{fn}(Q) = \emptyset \quad (\text{lab-par-l})$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{\nu y.P \xrightarrow{\alpha} \nu y.P'} \text{if } y \notin \text{fn}(\alpha) \cup \text{bn}(\alpha) \quad (\text{lab-new})$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}y} P'}{\nu y.P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}(y)} P'} \text{if } y \neq x \quad (\text{lab-open})$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}y} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{xy} Q'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \mid Q'} \quad (\text{lab-comm-l})$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}(y)} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{xy} Q'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\tau} \nu y.(P' \mid Q')} \text{if } y \notin \text{fn}(Q) \quad (\text{lab-close-l})$$

$$\frac{P \mid !P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{!P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} \quad (\text{lab-bang})$$

plus symmetric rules (lab-par-r), (lab-comm-r), (lab-close-r).

Labelled transitions and structural congruence

Theorem:

1. $P \longrightarrow P'$ iff $P \xrightarrow{\tau} \equiv P'$.
2. $P \equiv \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ implies $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} \equiv P'$

Exercise: Why does the converse of the second not hold?

Exercise: Show that the following pair of processes are both in (\longrightarrow) and $(\xrightarrow{\tau} \equiv)$:

$$\nu z. \bar{x}z \mid x(u). \bar{y}u \quad \nu z. \bar{y}z$$

Fun with side conditions

Exercise: Show that the side condition on (lab-par-I) is necessary by considering the process $\nu y. (\bar{x}y. y(u)) \mid \bar{z}v$ and an alpha variant.

Adding sum

$P ::= M$	sum
$P \mid P$	parallel (par)
$\nu x.P$	restriction (new) (x binds in P)
$!P$	replication (bang)
$M ::= \bar{x}y.P$	output
$x(y).P$	input (y binds in P)
$M + M$	sum
0	

Changes:

- structural congruence: $+$ is associative and commutative with identity 0 .
- reduction: $(\bar{x}y.P + M) \mid (x(u).Q + N) \longrightarrow P \mid \{y/u\}Q$.
- labelled transition: $M + \bar{x}y.P + N \xrightarrow{\bar{x}y} P$
 $M + x(y).P + N \xrightarrow{xz} \{z/y\}P$

Exercises for next lecture

1. Define an encoding $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ from the monadic synchronous π -calculus to the monadic asynchronous π -calculus.
2. Prove that if $P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}y} P'$ then there exist P_0 , P_1 , and \vec{z} such that

$$\begin{aligned} P &\equiv \nu \vec{z}.(\bar{x}y.P_0 \mid P_1) \\ P' &\equiv \nu \vec{z}.(P_0 \mid P_1) \\ \{x, y\} \cap \vec{z} &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

NB: the notation $\nu \vec{z}.P$ is merely a convenient way of expressing a series of new bindings:

$$\nu \vec{z}.P = \begin{cases} P & \text{if } \vec{z} \text{ is empty} \\ \nu w.(\nu \vec{w}.P) & \text{if } \vec{z} = w\vec{w} \end{cases}$$