

Dualité du calcul et interprétations en terme de jeux

ANR Prelude

Janvier 2008

Quelques repères et questions

Symétrie du calcul des séquents

- dualité termes/contextes d'évaluation
- la règle de coupure forme une paire critique

Modèles d'évaluation (élimination des coupures) basés sur la substitution

- deux manières asymétriques de résoudre la paire critique : appel par nom et appel par valeur

Peut-on mettre au point des modèles d'évaluation symétriques ?

- quels sont les calculs sous-jacents aux interprétations catégoriques symétriques de Došen, Lamarche et Straßburger, Straßburger, Pym et Führmann ?

Les stratégies des modèles en termes de jeux : des preuves sans coupure dans un calcul des séquents approprié

- coup d'Éloïse (le joueur principal, le proposant) = règle d'introduction de connecteur positif
- coup d'Abélard (l'opposant) = règle d'introduction de connecteur négatif
- interaction entre joueurs = élimination de tête des coupures (asymétrique)
- peut-on voir toute preuve sans coupure de tout calcul des séquents comme une stratégie ?
- peut-on imaginer une interaction qui respecte la symétrie ?

L'approche du système $\mu\tilde{\mu}$

- two axioms
- no contraction : simulated by cuts with the axioms
- three kinds of sequents
 - terms : distinguished formula on the right
 - ev. contexts : distinguished formula on the left
 - commands : no distinguished formula

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash \textcolor{red}{x} : A ; \Delta} Ax_R \quad \frac{}{\Gamma ; \alpha : A \vdash \alpha : A, \Delta} Ax_L$$

$$\frac{\textcolor{red}{c} : (\Gamma \vdash \alpha : A, \Delta)}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.\textcolor{red}{c} : A ; \Delta} \mu \quad \frac{\textcolor{red}{c} : (\Gamma, x : A \vdash \Delta)}{\Gamma ; \tilde{\mu}x.\textcolor{blue}{c} : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \textcolor{red}{v} : A ; \Delta \quad \Gamma ; \textcolor{blue}{e} : A \vdash \Delta}{\langle v \parallel e \rangle : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta)} Cut$$

Typing extensions (e.g. implication connective)

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash \textcolor{red}{v} : B ; \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.\textcolor{red}{v} : A \rightarrow B ; \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \textcolor{red}{v} : A ; \Delta \quad \Gamma ; \textcolor{blue}{e} : B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma ; \textcolor{blue}{v} \cdot \textcolor{blue}{e} : A \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta}$$

Typing the $\mu\tilde{\mu}$ -subsystem (sequent calculus in context-free form)

Thanks to the absence of contraction, sequent calculus proofs can be represented à la natural deduction

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A \vdash] \\ \vdots \\ \vdash A \end{array}}{\vdash A} \mu \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c} [\vdash A] \\ \vdots \\ \vdash \tilde{A} \end{array}}{\vdash \tilde{A}} \tilde{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\vdash A \quad A \vdash}{\vdash} Cut$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [\vdash A] \\ \vdots \\ \vdash B \end{array}}{\vdash A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow_R \qquad \frac{\vdash A \quad B \vdash}{\vdash A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow_L$$

The $\mu\tilde{\mu}$ -subsystem

(the critical dilemma of computation)

Syntax

Commands	$c ::= \langle v \ e \rangle$
Terms	$v ::= \mu\alpha.c \mid x \mid \dots$
Evaluation contexts	$e ::= \tilde{\mu}x.c \mid \alpha \mid \dots$

Semantics

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mu) & \langle \mu\alpha.c \| e \rangle \rightarrow c[\alpha \leftarrow e] \\ (\tilde{\mu}) & \langle v \| \tilde{\mu}x.c \rangle \rightarrow c[x \leftarrow v] \end{array}$$

The critical pair

$$c[\alpha \leftarrow \tilde{\mu}x.c'] \quad \begin{array}{l} \swarrow (\mu) \\ \langle \mu\alpha.c \| \tilde{\mu}x.c' \rangle \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\tilde{\mu}) \searrow \\ c'[x \leftarrow \mu\alpha.c] \end{array}$$

The $\mu\tilde{\mu}$ -subsystem

(the critical dilemma of computation)

Syntax

Commands	$c ::= \langle v \ e \rangle$
Terms	$v ::= \mu\alpha.c \mid x \mid \dots$
Evaluation contexts	$e ::= \tilde{\mu}x.c \mid \alpha \mid \dots$

Semantics

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mu) & \langle \mu\alpha.c \| e \rangle \rightarrow c[\alpha \leftarrow e] \\ (\tilde{\mu}) & \langle v \| \tilde{\mu}x.c \rangle \rightarrow c[x \leftarrow v] \end{array}$$

The critical pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{call-by-value} & \langle \mu\alpha.c \| \tilde{\mu}x.c' \rangle & \text{call-by-name} \\ \swarrow (\mu) & & (\tilde{\mu}) \searrow \\ c[\alpha \leftarrow \tilde{\mu}x.c'] & & c'[x \leftarrow \mu\alpha.c] \end{array}$$

The $\mu\tilde{\mu}$ -subsystem

(the call-by-name confluent restriction)

Syntax

Commands	$c ::= \langle v \ e \rangle$
Terms	$v ::= \mu\alpha.c \mid x \mid \dots$
Evaluation contexts	$e ::= \tilde{\mu}x.c \mid E$
Linear ev. contexts	$E ::= \alpha \parallel \dots$

Semantics

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mu_n) & \langle \mu\alpha.c \| E \rangle \rightarrow c[\alpha \leftarrow E] \\ (\tilde{\mu}) & \langle v \| \tilde{\mu}x.c \rangle \rightarrow c[x \leftarrow v] \end{array}$$

The solved critical pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle \mu\alpha.c \| \tilde{\mu}x.c' \rangle & & \text{call-by-name} \\ (\tilde{\mu}) \searrow & & \\ & & c'[x \leftarrow \mu\alpha.c] \end{array}$$

The $\mu\tilde{\mu}$ -subsystem

(the call-by-value confluent restriction)

Syntax

Commands	$c ::= \langle v \ e \rangle$
Terms	$v ::= \mu\alpha.c \mid V$
Evaluation contexts	$e ::= \tilde{\mu}x.c \mid \alpha \mid \dots$
Values	$V ::= x \mid \dots$

Semantics

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mu) & \langle \mu\alpha.c \| e \rangle \rightarrow c[\alpha \leftarrow e] \\ (\tilde{\mu}_v) & \langle V \| \tilde{\mu}x.c \rangle \rightarrow c[x \leftarrow V] \end{array}$$

The solved critical pair

$$\text{call-by-value} \quad \langle \mu\alpha.c \| \tilde{\mu}x.c' \rangle$$

$$c[\alpha \leftarrow \tilde{\mu}x.c'] \swarrow (\mu)$$

The $\mu\tilde{\mu}$ -subsystem

(two confluent dual asymmetric restrictions)

$\mu_n\tilde{\mu}$ -subsystem

Commands	$c ::= \langle v \ e \rangle$
Terms	$v ::= \mu\alpha.c \ x \ \dots$
Linear ev. contexts	$E ::= \alpha \ \dots$
Evaluation contexts	$e ::= \tilde{\mu}x.c \ E$

$\mu\tilde{\mu}_v$ -subsystem

Commands	$c ::= \langle v \ e \rangle$
Linear terms (= values)	$V ::= x \ \dots$
Terms	$v ::= \mu\alpha.c \ V$
Evaluation contexts	$e ::= \tilde{\mu}x.c \ \alpha \ \dots$

$$(\mu_n) \quad \langle \mu\alpha.c \| E \rangle \rightarrow c[\alpha \leftarrow E]$$

$$(\tilde{\mu}) \quad \langle v \| \tilde{\mu}x.c \rangle \rightarrow c[x \leftarrow v]$$

$$(\mu) \quad \langle \mu\alpha.c \| e \rangle \rightarrow c[\alpha \leftarrow e]$$

$$(\tilde{\mu}_v) \quad \langle V \| \tilde{\mu}x.c \rangle \rightarrow c[x \leftarrow V]$$

Les E-dialogues de Lorenzen, d'après Felscher (formes normales, logique classique)

Le calcul des E-dialogues de Lorenzen coïncide, dans le cas classique, avec LK^Q équipé avec les connecteurs négatifs \rightarrow , \wedge_a et \vee_m .

Deux types de séquents : $\Gamma \vdash N; \Delta$ et $\Gamma \vdash; \Delta$

Interprétation possible en logique linéaire : $!\Gamma \vdash N; ?! \Delta$ et $!\Gamma \vdash; ?! \Delta$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash A; \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash; A, \Delta} Ax \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, A \vdash A; \Delta} Ax \text{ si } A \text{ est atomique}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \vdash A; \Delta \quad \Gamma, A \rightarrow B, B \vdash; \Delta}{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \vdash; \Delta} \rightarrow_g \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash; B}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B; \Delta} \rightarrow_d$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \wedge B, A \vdash; \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash; \Delta} \wedge_g^1 \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \wedge B, B \vdash; \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash; \Delta} \wedge_g^2 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash; A \quad \Gamma \vdash; B}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B; \Delta} \wedge_d$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vee B, A \vdash; C, \Delta \quad \Gamma, A \vee B, B \vdash; C, \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \vdash; C, \Delta} \vee_g \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash; A, B}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B; \Delta} \vee_d$$

Les E-dialogues de Lorenzen, d'après Felscher (formes normales annotées)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash V : A; \Delta}{\langle V \parallel \alpha \rangle : (\Gamma, A \vdash; \alpha : A, \Delta)} Ax \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A; \Delta} Ax \text{ si } A \text{ est atomique}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, z : A \rightarrow B \vdash V : A; \Delta \quad c : (\Gamma, z : A \rightarrow B, y : B \vdash; \Delta)}{\langle z \parallel V \cdot \tilde{\mu}y.c \rangle : (\Gamma, z : A \rightarrow B \vdash; \Delta)} \rightarrow_g \quad \frac{c : (\Gamma, x : A \vdash; \beta : B)}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. \mu \beta. c : A \rightarrow B; \Delta} \rightarrow_d$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma, z : A \wedge B, x : A \vdash; \Delta)}{\langle z \parallel \pi_1[\tilde{\mu}x.c] \rangle : (\Gamma, z : A \wedge B \vdash; \Delta)} \wedge_g^1 \frac{c : (\Gamma, z : A \wedge B, y : B \vdash; \Delta)}{\langle z \parallel \pi_2[\tilde{\mu}y.c] \rangle : (\Gamma, z : A \wedge B \vdash; \Delta)} \wedge_g^2 \frac{c : (\Gamma \vdash; \alpha : A) \quad c' : (\Gamma \vdash; \beta : B)}{\Gamma \vdash (\mu \alpha. c, \mu \beta. c') : A \wedge B; \Delta} \wedge_d$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma, z : A \vee B, x : A \vdash; C, \Delta) \quad c' : (\Gamma, z : A \vee B, y : B \vdash; C, \Delta)}{\langle z \parallel [\tilde{\mu}x.c, \tilde{\mu}y.c'] \rangle : \Gamma, z : A \vee B \vdash; C, \Delta} \vee_g \quad \frac{c : (\Gamma \vdash; \alpha : A, \beta : B)}{\Gamma \vdash \mu(\alpha, \beta). c : A \vee B; \Delta} \vee_d$$

$$c ::= \langle x \rangle E \parallel \langle V \rangle \alpha$$

$$V ::= x \parallel \lambda x. \mu \beta. c \parallel (\mu \alpha_1. c, \mu \alpha_2. c) \parallel \mu(\alpha_1, \alpha_2). c$$

$$E ::= V \cdot \tilde{\mu}y. c \parallel \pi_1[\tilde{\mu}x_1. c] \parallel \pi_2[\tilde{\mu}x_2. c] \parallel [\tilde{\mu}x_1. c, \tilde{\mu}x_2. c]$$

choix formule introduite

intro droite de négatif

intro gauche de négatif

$$c ::= [x \parallel]_E^\alpha$$

$$V ::= A \rightarrow B \parallel A \wedge B \parallel A \vee B$$

$$E ::= V \parallel \wedge_1 \parallel \wedge_2 \parallel \vee$$

Les E-dialogues de Lorenzen, d'après Felscher (interaction)

Règles de coupure

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A; \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash; \Delta} \text{ Coupe(hyp)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash; A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, A \vdash; \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash; \Delta} \text{ Coupe(concl)}$$

Syntaxe enrichie (coupure = substitutions explicites)

$$\begin{aligned} c &::= c[\sigma] \\ [\sigma] &::= [] \parallel [x := V[\sigma]; \sigma] \parallel [\alpha := \tilde{\mu}x.c[\sigma]; \sigma] \end{aligned}$$

Règles d'élimination des coupures de tête (interaction)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle x \parallel V \cdot \tilde{\mu}y.c \rangle[\sigma] & \rightarrow & c'[x' := V[\sigma]; \alpha' := \tilde{\mu}y.c[\sigma]; \tau] & \sigma(x) \text{ est } \lambda x'.\mu\alpha'.c'[\tau] \\ \langle x \parallel \pi_1[\tilde{\mu}x_1.c_1] \rangle[\sigma] & \rightarrow & c'_1[\alpha'_1 := \tilde{\mu}x_1.c_1[\sigma]; \tau] & \sigma(x) \text{ est } (\mu\alpha'_1.c'_1, \mu\alpha'_2.c'_2)[\tau] \\ \langle x \parallel \pi_2[\tilde{\mu}x_2.c_2] \rangle[\sigma] & \rightarrow & c'_2[\alpha'_2 := \tilde{\mu}x_2.c_2[\sigma]; \tau] & \sigma(x) \text{ est } (\mu\alpha'_1.c'_1, \mu\alpha'_2.c'_2)[\tau] \\ \langle x \parallel [\tilde{\mu}x_1.c_1, \tilde{\mu}x_2.c_2] \rangle & \rightarrow & c'[\alpha'_1 := \tilde{\mu}x_1.c_1[\sigma]; \alpha'_2 := \tilde{\mu}x_2.c_2[\sigma]; \tau] & \sigma(x) \text{ est } \mu(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2).c'[\tau] \\ \langle V \parallel \alpha \rangle[\sigma] & \rightarrow & c'[x' := V[\sigma]; \tau] & \sigma(\alpha) \text{ est } \tilde{\mu}x'.c'[\tau] \end{array}$$

+ règles pour le cas où V est x et le cas où $\sigma(x)$ ou $\sigma(\alpha)$ n'est pas défini.

Remarque : il y a alternance entre le monde de c, x, α, σ et celui de c', x', α', τ .

Simply-typed $\mu_n\tilde{\mu}^{\rightarrow \mathbb{N}}$ -system (μPCF)
 (Herbelin [1997], Laird [1997])

$N \rightarrow N$ interpreted as $?N^\perp \wp N$
 \mathbb{N} interpreted as $? \oplus_n 1$
 maximal $\eta_{\rightarrow n}$ -expansion
 maximal η_μ -expansion of atoms

$$c ::= \langle x_i^j \| v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_p \cdot [\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}] \rangle \mid \langle \mathbf{n} \| \alpha_i \rangle$$

where $v ::= \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. \mu \alpha. c$

$$c ::= \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \searrow \\ \boxed{1}_0 \dots \boxed{p}_0 \end{array} \boxed{\mathbf{n}}_0 \mid \boxed{\mathbf{n}}_i^j$$

Initial state

$$\langle x \| \overbrace{v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_p \cdot [\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}]}^{Opponent} \rangle \quad [x \leftarrow \overbrace{v}^{Player}]$$

Interaction rules

$$(\rightarrow \mu_n) \quad \langle x_i^j \| \vec{v} \cdot [\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}] \rangle \quad [\sigma] \rightarrow c \quad [\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{v}; \alpha \leftarrow [\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}]; \sigma']$$

$$(\mathbb{N}) \quad \langle \mathbf{n} \| \alpha_i \rangle \quad [\sigma] \rightarrow c_{\mathbf{n}} \quad [\sigma']$$

$$\sigma(x_i^j) = (\lambda \vec{x}. \mu \alpha. c)[\sigma'] \quad \sigma(\alpha_i) = ([\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}])[\sigma']$$

Simply-typed $\mu \tilde{\mu}_v^{\rightarrow \mathbb{N}}$ -system (μPCF_v)
 (Abramsky-McCusker [1997], Honda-Yoshida [1997], Laird [1998])

$P \rightarrow P$ interpreted as $P^\perp \wp !P$
 \mathbb{N} interpreted as $? \oplus_n 1$
 maximal $\eta_{\rightarrow v}$ -expansion and $\eta_{\tilde{\mu}}$ -expansion
 needs new constructions $\lambda \mathbf{n}. v_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot e$

$$c ::= \langle x_i \| V_\lambda \cdot e \rangle \mid \langle x_i \| \mathbf{n} \cdot e \rangle \mid \langle V_\lambda \| \alpha_i \rangle \mid \langle \mathbf{n} \| \alpha_i \rangle$$

where $V_\lambda ::= \lambda x. \mu \alpha. c \mid \lambda \mathbf{n}. \mu \alpha. c_{\mathbf{n}}$
 $e ::= \tilde{\mu} x. c \mid [\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}]$

$$c ::= \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \searrow \\ \boxed{V}_0 \dots \boxed{V}_0 \end{array} \boxed{\mathbf{n}}_i^j \mid \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \boxed{V}_0 \end{array} \mid \boxed{\mathbf{n}}_i^j \mid \boxed{\mathbf{n}}_i^j$$

Initial states

$$\begin{array}{ll} Player & Opponent \\ \langle \overbrace{\mathbf{n}}^{Player} \| \alpha \rangle & [\alpha \leftarrow \overbrace{[\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}]}^{Opponent}] \\ \langle \lambda x. \mu \alpha. c \| \alpha \rangle & [\alpha \leftarrow V_\lambda \cdot e] \\ \langle \lambda \mathbf{n}. \mu \alpha. c_{\mathbf{n}} \| \alpha \rangle & [\alpha \leftarrow \mathbf{n} \cdot e] \end{array}$$

Interaction rules

$$(\rightarrow \mu) \quad \langle x_i \| V_\lambda \cdot e \rangle \quad [\sigma] \rightarrow c \quad [x \leftarrow V_\lambda; \alpha \leftarrow e; \sigma']$$

$$(\rightarrow^{\mathbb{N}} \mu) \quad \langle x'_i \| \mathbf{n} \cdot e \rangle \quad [\sigma] \rightarrow c_{\mathbf{n}} \quad [\alpha \leftarrow e; \sigma']$$

$$(\tilde{\mu}_v) \quad \langle V_\lambda \| \alpha_i \rangle \quad [\sigma] \rightarrow c \quad [x \leftarrow V_\lambda; \sigma']$$

$$(\mathbb{N}) \quad \langle \mathbf{n} \| \alpha'_i \rangle \quad [\sigma] \rightarrow c_{\mathbf{n}} \quad [\sigma']$$

$$\sigma(x_i) = (\lambda x. \mu \alpha. c)[\sigma'] \quad \sigma(\alpha_i) = (\tilde{\mu} x. c)[\sigma']$$

$$\sigma(x'_i) = (\lambda \mathbf{n}. \mu \alpha. c_{\mathbf{n}})[\sigma'] \quad \sigma(\alpha'_i) = ([\mathbf{n} \mapsto c_{\mathbf{n}}])[\sigma']$$

A general, purely computational, definition of connective

A connective is the pair of a family of finite sequences of signs (standing for a family of constructors and the signs telling if the arguments are terms or evaluation contexts) and of a sign (telling if the connective “constructs” a term or an evaluation term). Here are examples :

conjunctive connectives :	$\wedge_m \{++\}+$	$\wedge_a \{\cdot, \cdot\}-$		
subtractive connectives :	$\setminus \{+\cdot\}+$	$\setminus' \{+-\}+$	$/ \{\cdot+\}+$	$/' \{-+\}+$
disjunctive connectives :	$\vee_m \{\cdot-\}-$	$\vee_a \{\dot{+}, \dot{+}\}+$	$\vee_m^R \{\cdot\dot{-}\}-$	$\vee_m^L \{\dot{-}\}-$
implication connectives :	$\rightarrow \{+-\}-$	$\rightarrow' \{+-\}-$	$\leftarrow \{\cdot+\}-$	$\leftarrow' \{-+\}-$
true connective :	$T_a \{\}+$	$T_m \{\epsilon\}-$	ϵ denotes the empty sequence	
false connective :	$\perp_m \{\epsilon\}-$	$\perp_a \{\}-$	ϵ denotes the empty sequence	
identity :	$\neg \{\dot{+}\}+$		whose constructors are isomorphic to those of $\{\cdot\}-$	
negation :	$\neg \{\cdot\}+$		whose constructors are isomorphic to those of $\{\dot{+}\}-$	
negating conjunctions :	$\nabla_m \{++\}-$	$\nabla_a \{\cdot, \cdot\}+$		
negated disjunctions :	$\overline{\wedge}_m \{\cdot\cdot\}+$	$\overline{\wedge}_a \{\dot{+}, \dot{+}\}-$		
quantifiers :	$\exists \{\dot{+}\}_i+$	$\forall \{\cdot\}_i-$	where i ranges over some domain of terms	
negating quantifiers :	$\exists \neg \{\cdot\}_i+$	$\forall \neg \{\dot{+}\}_i-$	where i ranges over some domain of terms	
ludic's pos. connective :	$\oplus_{I \subset \text{fin } \mathbb{N}} \otimes_I \underbrace{\{+\dots+\}}_{\substack{n \text{ times}}} \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} +$		where $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ ranges over finite subsets of \mathbb{N}	
ludic's neg. connective :	$\&_{I \subset \text{fin } \mathbb{N}} \wp_I \underbrace{\{-\dots-\}}_{\substack{n \text{ times}}} \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} -$		where $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ ranges over finite subsets of \mathbb{N}	
ludic's modified p. conn. :	$\oplus_{n,j \in \mathbb{N}} \otimes_{[1;n]} \underbrace{\{+\dots+\}}_{\substack{n \text{ times}}} \{n,j\} +$		which differs only in that the component \otimes_\emptyset in	
ludic's modified n. conn. :	$\&_{n,j \in \mathbb{N}} \wp_{[1;n]} \underbrace{\{-\dots-\}}_{\substack{n \text{ times}}} \{n,j\} -$		$\oplus_{I \subset \text{fin } \mathbb{N}} \otimes_I$ has now arbitrary many instances	

Ludics syntax

(a slight generalization, syntax)

Cut-free syntax

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Commands} & c ::= \langle x \| n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n \rangle \mid \Omega \mid \dagger \\ \text{Terms} & V ::= \lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c \end{array}$$

“Dessein” = x 's occur linearly and p branching is degenerated when $n = 0$ (since there is only one empty subset while there are infinitely many finite subset of non-zero cardinal – p is the index of a subset in an enumeration of finite subsets of cardinal n)

Remark : To avoid variable captures, names are made of sequences of integers. In $\langle x \| n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n \rangle$, if V_i is $\lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c_p^n$ then x_j is the concatenation of j to the name x .

Remark : The generalization allows for instance to represent $true := \langle x \| 0 \ 0 \rangle : 1 \oplus 1$ and $false := \langle x \| 0 \ 1 \rangle : 1 \oplus 1$ (where x is the name of the formula $1 \oplus 1$).

Remark : one could also have used the abbreviation $E ::= n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n$ and $c ::= \langle x \| E \rangle$ to make a closer relation with the syntax used for E-dialogues.

Ludics syntax

(a slight generalization, semantics)

Syntax with cuts

Commands	$c ::= \langle x \ n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n \rangle \mid \Omega \mid \dagger \mid c[\sigma]$
Terms	$V ::= \lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c$
Substitutions	$[\sigma] ::= [] \parallel [x := V[\sigma]; \sigma]$

Semantics

Weak-head cut-elimination :

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \langle x \| n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n \rangle [\sigma] & \rightarrow & c_p^n[x_1 := V_1[\sigma]; \dots; x_n := V_n[\sigma]; \tau] \quad \text{if } \sigma(x) \text{ is } \lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c_p^n[\tau] \\
 \Omega[\sigma] & \rightarrow & \Omega \quad \text{failure} \\
 \dagger[\sigma] & \rightarrow & \dagger \quad \text{final step}
 \end{array}$$

Strong reduction (weak-head cut-elimination below constructors) :

$$\langle x \| n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n \rangle [\sigma] \rightarrow \langle x \| n \ p \ V'_1 \dots V'_n \rangle \quad \text{if } x \text{ not bound in } \sigma$$

where V_i is $\lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c_{np}$ and $c_{np}[\sigma] \rightarrow c'_{np}$ and V'_i is $\lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c'_{np}$

Ludics as a sequent calculus (a slight generalization, typing)

Types

$$N ::= \&_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \wp_{i \in I} N_{Ii}^\perp \quad \text{where } \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})$$

Typing

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash V_1 : N_{I_0 i_1} \dots \Delta \vdash V_n : N_{I_0 i_n}}{\langle x \| n (\#_n I_0) V_1 \dots V_n \rangle : (\Delta, x : \&_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \wp_{i \in I} N_{Ii}^\perp)} \quad I_0 = \{i_1 \dots i_n\} \quad \frac{\forall I = \{i_1 \dots i_n\} \in \mathcal{I} \quad c_{\#_n I}^n : (\Delta, x_1 : N_{Ii_1}, \dots, x_n : N_{Ii_n} \vdash)}{\Delta \vdash \lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c_p^n : \&_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \wp_{i \in I} N_{Ii}^\perp} \quad \text{where } c_{\#_n I}^n \text{ is } \Omega \text{ if } I \notin \mathcal{I}$$

$$\frac{}{\dagger : (\vdash \Delta)} \quad \frac{\dots \Delta \vdash V_i : N_i \dots \quad c : (\Delta, x_1 : N_1, \dots, x_n : N_n \vdash)}{c[x_1 := V_1; \dots; x_n := V_n] : (\Delta \vdash)}$$

$$\frac{\dots \Delta \vdash V_i : N_i \dots \quad \Delta, x_1 : N_1, \dots, x_n : N_n \vdash V : N}{\Delta \vdash V[x_1 := V_1; \dots; x_n := V_n] : N}$$

($\#_n I$ is the index of $I \in \mathbb{N}^n$ in an enumeration of \mathbb{N}^n)

Ludics as a $\mu\tilde{\mu}^{(\&\wp)}$ -calculus

Syntax

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{Commands} & c ::= \langle V \| e \rangle \mid \Omega \mid \dagger \\
 \text{Terms} & V ::= x \mid \lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c \\
 \text{Evaluation contexts} & e ::= n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n \mid \tilde{\mu}x.c
 \end{array}$$

Semantics

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \langle \lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c_p^n \| n \ p \ V_1 \dots V_n \rangle & \rightarrow & c_p^n[V_1/x_1 \dots V_n/x_n] \\
 \langle V \| \tilde{\mu}x.c \rangle & \rightarrow & c[V/x]
 \end{array}$$

Typing

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash V_1 : N_{I_0 i_1} \dots \Delta \vdash V_n : N_{I_0 i_n}}{\Delta ; n (\#_n I_0) V_1 \dots V_n : \&_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \wp_{i \in I} N_{Ii}^\perp \quad I_0 = \{i_1 \dots i_n\}} \quad \frac{\forall I = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{I} \quad c_{\#_n I}^n : (\Delta, x_1 : N_{Ii_1}, \dots, x_n : N_{Ii_n} \vdash)}{\Delta \vdash \lambda n. \lambda p. \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. c_p^n : \&_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \wp_{i \in I} N_{Ii}^\perp} \quad \mathcal{I}$$

$$\frac{}{c : (\Delta, x : N \vdash)} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash V : N \quad \Delta ; e : N \vdash}{\langle V \| e \rangle : (\Delta \vdash)} \quad \frac{}{\Omega : (\vdash \Delta)} \quad \frac{}{\dagger : (\vdash \Delta)} \quad \frac{x : N \text{ in } \Delta}{\Delta \vdash x : N}$$

($\#_n I$ is the index of $I \in \mathbb{N}^n$ in an enumeration of \mathbb{N}^n)